

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

WILLIAM P. CASTILLO,  
  
Petitioner,  
  
v.  
  
WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,  
  
Respondents.

Case No. 2:04-cv-00868-RCJ-GWF  
  
ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
EXTENSION OF TIME

In this capital habeas corpus action, on January 14, 2019, the Court denied William P. Castillo’s habeas petition, and judgment was entered accordingly (ECF Nos. 231, 232). On February 11, 2019, Castillo filed a motion to alter or amend the judgment (ECF No. 233), and Respondents filed an opposition to that motion on April 11, 2019 (ECF No. 241). Castillo was then due to file a reply in support of the motion on April 18, 2019. See LR 7-2(b).

On April 17, 2019, Castillo filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 242), requesting a 21-day extension of time – to May 9, 2019 – for the reply in support of the motion to alter or amend the judgment. Castillo’s counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in other cases. Respondents do not oppose the extension of time. The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested.

///  
///  
///

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time  
2 (ECF No. 242) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until **May 9, 2019**, to file a reply in  
3 support of his motion to alter or amend judgment.

4  
5           DATED THIS 17th day of April, 2019.

6  
7  
8             
9           \_\_\_\_\_  
10          ROBERT C. JONES,  
11          UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28